UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN DIVISION

	No. 4:10-CR-42-1-F No. 4:11-CV-191-F	
CODY HARRIS,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
Respondent)	

This matter is before the court on motion of petitioner, Cody Harris ("Harris") to vacate set aside or correct his sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The Government has responded and concurs in Harris's request. This is a "Simmons" case.¹

The material details underlying the instant motion are not contested. Harris contends that neither of his two prior North Carolina "felony" convictions constitutes a "felony" for purposes of his felon-in possession conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) as a result of the *Simmons* decision. The Government specifically concedes that Harris's prior marijuana conviction alleged in the Indictment as the predicate for the § 922(g) charge (Count Ten) is not a "felony," as that term was re-interpreted by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Simmons*.²

¹ United States v. Simmons, 649 F.3d 237 (4th Cir. 2011).

The Government did not mention the prior state *cocaine* conviction noted in the Presentence Report at ¶ 21, that was imposed on January 6, 2010 – the day prior to return of this Indictment on January 7, 2010. The Indictment did not specify that recent conviction as a predicate for the § 922(g) charge.

Because the parties concede and the court finds that Harris's conviction as to Count Ten

of the Indictment – felon-in-possession in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) – must be vacated in

light of *Simmons*, it therefore is ORDERED:

• Harris's § 2255 Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct his Conviction and Sentence is

ALLOWED as to his conviction of Count Ten and his sentence thereon, for violation of 18 U.S.C.

§ 922(g);

• Harris's conviction and sentence for violating 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) - Count Ten of the

Indictment [DE-1] – are VACATED;

• the United States Probation Office is DIRECTED to prepare and submit a revised

Presentence Report in accordance with the provisions of this order, the rules of this court and

the ruling in Simmons;

• the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to schedule and notice this matter for a resentencing

hearing consistent with the Simmons ruling during the term of court beginning on February

27, 2012, in Wilmington, North Carolina;

• the Government will ensure Harris's transportation and housing as needed for the

resentencing hearing;

• except as ordered herein, the Judgment [DE-40] entered on November 10, 2010,

remains in full force and effect.

SO ORDERED.

This, the 10th day of January, 2012.

JAMES C. FOX

Senior United States District Judge